STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY

INDEX

Of

WITNESSES

Defense' Witnesses	Page
Section of the Control of the Contro	25197
UEMURA, Kogoro	25197
Direct by Mr. Logan Direct by Mr. G. Williams	25197 25213
Direct by mr. d. william	25215
(Witness excused)	
MIZUNO, Itaro	25242
	25242
Direct by Mr. Logan (Witness excused)	25249

#### INDEX

Of

## EXHIBITS

Doc.	Def.	Pros.	Description	For In Ident. Evidence
1400-D-4	2799		Letter from Ambassad HORINOUCHI to the U.S. Secretary of State dated 6 January 1940	
	2800		Book entitled "Peace War", an official lication of the De ment of State, US	epart-
401(39)	2800	<b>-</b> Λ	Excerpt therefrom - Instructions to Ambassador Grew	25168
			MORNING RECESS	25180
1400-F-4	2801		Proclamation No. 24 signed by Preside Roosevelt 2 July	110
1400-G-4	2801	-A	the White House of July 1940 re HR H 9850 signed by Pr dent Roosevelt	on 2 3111
1400-H-4	280	L-B	Proclamation No. 24 signed by Preside Roosevelt 26 July	117 ent y 1940 25193
1400-1-4	280	1 <b>-</b> C	Regulation signed President Roosev 26 July 1940 re Petroleum Produc Tetraethyl lead Iron and Steel	ts.

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

No.	Def.	Pros.	Description	For Ident.Ev	In idence
		N00	N RECESS		25196
1924	2802		Affidavit of UEMURA Kogoro	, .	25198
1400-L-4	2803		Proclamation No. 24 signed by Preside Roosevelt 12 Sept 1940	nt	25219
1400-N-4	2804		Press Release issue the White House of September 1940	d by on 26	25222
1400-0-4	2805		Regulation signed to President Roosever 30 September 1940 defining use of and steel scrap"	)	25227
1400-R-4	2806		Press Release issue the White House October 1940	ed by on 15	25227
1400-W-4	2807		Executive Order No signed by Fresid Roosevelt 10 Dec 1940	ent	25233
		Δ	FTERNOON RECESS		2523€

## INDEX

Of

# EXHIBITS

# (cont'd)

			A Page Area Comments	
Doc.	Def.	Pros.	Description	For In Ident, Evidence
1400-Y-4	2808		Proclamation No. 2451 signed by President Roosevelt 20 December 1940	25240
1400-B-5	2809		signed by President Roosevelt 10 January 1941	1. 25 <b>25</b> 0
1400-C-5	2810		Executive Order No. 8668 signed by President Roosevelt 4 February 1941	
1400-D-5	2811		Executive Order No. 866 signed by President Roosevelt 4 February 1941	
1400-E-5	2812	2	Executive Order No. 869 signed by President Roosevelt 25 Februar 1941	
1400-F-	5 281	3	Executive Order No. 869 signed by President Roosevelt 25 Februar 1941	
1400-G-	5 281	4	Executive Order No. 870 signed by President Roosevelt 4 March 19	

#### Thursday, 7 August 1947 1 2 INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL 3 FOR THE FAR EAST Court House of the Tribunal War Ministry Building Tokyo, Japan 5 6 The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment, 7 8 at 0930. 9 10 Appearances: For the Tribunal, all Members sitting, with 11 the exception of: HONORABLE JUSTICE STUART McDOUGALL, 12 13 Member from the Dominion of Canada; HONORABLE JUSTICE 14 I. M. ZARAYANOV, Member from the USSR; and HONORABLE 15 JUSTICE E. H. NORTHCROFT, Member from the Dominion of 16 New Zealand, not sitting from 0930 to 1600. 17 For the Prosecution Section, same as before. For the Defense Section, same as before. 19 20 (English to Japanese and Japanese 21 to English interpretation was made by the 22 Language Section, IMTFE.) 23

24

Duda & Spratt

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International
Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.
THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, we now offer in evidence defense document 1400-D-4, a letter from Ambassador HORINOUCHI to the Secretary of State dated January 6, 1940.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, in our submission this is merely another embargo document, this time taking the form of a protest by the Japanese against America's embargoes. We suggest that this is irrelevant, as the documents that were excluded yesterday.

THE PRESIDENT: Perhaps, this ought to go in as part of the negotiations, as part of the evidence of the negotiations.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, if the Tribunal takes that view we do not press the objection.

THE PRESIDENT: The document is admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1400-D-will receive exhibit No. 2799.

(Whereupon, the document above

referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2799 and received in evidence.)

MR. LOGAN: We now read exhibit 2799, being a letter from the Japanese Ambassador, HORINOUCHI, to the Secretary of State, Washington, January 6, 1940.

"Sir: I have the honor to state that, as
the result of a communication through a circular
letter of July 1, 1938, addressed by the Department
of State to manufacturers and exporters of aircraft
and aircraft parts, in which it was mentioned that
the Department of State would with great regret
issue any licenses authorizing exportation, direct
or indirect, of any aircraft, aircraft armament,
aircraft engines, aircraft parts, aircraft accessories,
serial bombs or torpedoes to countries the armed
forces of which are making use of airplanes for attack
upon civilian populations, it has virtually become
impossible for Japanese firms to import any airplanes
and airplane parts of American make.

"As repeatedly stated by my Government,
military operations of the Japanese air forces in
China have been directed solely against warlike
organizations and establishments of the Chinese. No
bombing or machine-gunning has been resorted to against

2 3

1.7

therefore, cannot but take exception to any treatment of Japan as a country 'the armed forces of which are making use of airplanes for attack upon civilian population.'

"The above-mentioned measures employed by
the Department of State, which, in view of the great
influence that can be wielled by a central government
upon private menufacturers and exporters, have the
effect of a discriminatory export embargo. My Government considers that such measures applied to Japan
are in derogation of the provision of Article V,
paragraph 3 of the Treaty of Commerce and Navigation
between Japan and the United States of February 21,
1911, and at variance with the general purposes of the
Treaty as a whole.

"While my Government was keenly alive to the unfortunate consequences of the said communication to American exporters, it did not relinquish the hope that the United States Government, in harmony with its fair and just trade policy, would before long retract it.

"However, on Lecember 1.6, 1939, another letter was addressed by the Department of State to manufacturers and exporters to the effect that the Department hoped that it would not receive any application for a license to authorize the exportation, direct or indirect, of articles enumerated in the letter of July 1, 1938, and, in addition thereto, of aeronautical equipment of all kind and materials essential to airplane manufacture. In order to point out that molybdenum and aluminum should be included among such materials, a letter was addressed by the Department to all producers of these two materials.

December 20, 1939, from the Department of State that the decision had been reached that there should be no further delivery to certain countries of plans, plants, manufacturing rights, or technical information required for the production of high quality aviation gasoline and that this decision has been communicated to the interested American oil companies. The announcement cited the decision as an extension of the United States Government's policy in regard to the sale of airplane, aeronautical equipment, and materials essential to airplane manufacture to countries the armed forces of which were engaged in unprovoked bombing or machine-gunning of civilian populations from the air.

"Of late there occurred a number of cases

in which the purchase of certain articles and materials under negotiation between Japanese firms and interested American companies has been rendered impossible as the result of the Department of State's letters.

"To cite one of the most outstanding cases, a memorandum of a contract was drawn and initialed August 19, 1938, by representatives of Japan Gasoline Company on the one part and Universal Oil Products Company on the other.

"It was stipulated that Universal Oil Products Company, which since 1928 had maintained close business connection with Japan Gasoline Company, should grant a license in Japan covering their polymerization processes for the production of iso-octanes and rights under all of their processes in the entire petroleum field, in return for which Japan Gasoline Company should pay \$1,000,000 United States funds to Universal Oil Products Company.

"It was understood that, during the progress of the negotiations in connection with the above agreement, the representatives of Universal Oil Products Company approached and laid the pertinent facts before the Department of State, which made no objection to the transaction.

"Under the terms of the agreement Japan

Gasoline Company paid to Universal Oil Products
Company a sum of \$300,000 on October 30, 1938, and
\$400,000 on July 8, 1939. It was agreed that further
payment of \$100,000 was to be made at the time of
delivery of plans and specifications for a plant and
a final payment of \$200,000 upon completion and test
of such a plant. In essence, Universal Oil Products
Company was bound to guarantee, within the limit of
the money it was to receive in payment, complete
working of the plant in Japan under polymerization
processes.

"Recently Universal Oil Products Company
proposed that a final agreement should be made along
the lines of the provisional agreement, and accordingly
the two parties continued their negotiations.

"On December 19, 1939, however, Universal Oil Products Company informed the representatives of Japan Gasoline Company that they had received a letter from the Department of State which left no alternative for them but to withhold further fulfillment of the terms of the contract.

"The consummation of this contract, under which the greater part of the payment involved has already been made and other obligations required have been completely fulfilled by one of the parties, has for

all practical purposes been frustrated.

by your Government, causing a situation not dissimilar in effect to that which might obtain under an export embargo discriminating against Japan, are incontravention to the provisions of Article V, paragraph 3, of the Treaty of Commerce and Navigation between Japan and the United States and at variance with the spirit of the Treaty as a whole and fearing that the continuation of such measures may affect the present earnest efforts of Japan and the United States for the improvement of mutual friendly relations through the maintenance and promotion of commerce between the two countries, my Government greatly deplores the herein referred to actions of the authorities of the United States Government.

"I avail myself (etc.)

"Kensuke HORINOUCHI."

We offer in evidence defense document

1400-U-2 being an excerpt from a telegram dated

March 24, 1940, from Ambassador Grew to Secretary of

State Hull, dealing with the problem of embargoes,

loans to China, and reporting in part speech by the

Prime Minister of Japan and the Foreign Minister.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, we object to this document which is concerned with the embargo question. It reports the press report of certain discussions in the Diet with reference to that question, and in our submission does not assist the determination of the issues.

THE PRESIDENT: This is how the Japanese put their viewpoint to one another. What they said to the Americans is in quite a different class.

NR. LOGAN: This shows the Japanese point of view on the effect of the embargoes, if the Tribunal please, and it is an official telegram which was sent by Mr. Grew to the United States Secretary of State; and its materiality is to disprove the allegations of the claims of the prosecution that Japan was building up an industrial plan for aggressive war. All this evidence goes to that point, to show that she wasn't able to get the material as claimed by the prosecution;

not only goods for military purposes but civilian goods as well.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan, anything worthwhile, any point worthwhile, was made in the course of the negotiations by the Japanese with the Americans.

You see, otherwise, where do we finish if we let in all these things said by the Japanese to one another? We should have all the negotiations, and every point worthwhile was made in the course of them.

MR. LOGAN: But this goes a step further, if the Tribunal please. We have in this case a charge of conspiracy, and here is a statement made by the Prime Minister in the Lower House; and the question which this Tribunal will have to decide is, did these accused know the situation as reported to them by the officials of their government, what did they believe, and what did they do as a result of it?

THE PRESIDENT: This subdivision is called, "Allied Pressure against Japan."

MR. LOGAN: That is right.

THE PRESIDENT: And what you are endeavoring to establish is the fact that there was allied pressure. For that purpose, isn't it enough to tell us all you said to the Americans?

MR. LOGAN: No. That comes under two points.

2

4

6

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

24

One is the pressure which was applied, and I think later documents will show that it was admitted that there was pressure applied. I do not think there is any question about that.

And the other two points in addition to that pressure are, one, that Japan was not able to get the materials to build up a war machine or for civilian economy. Of course, the prosecution have claimed that in their opening statement of that particular phase of the case.

THE PRESIDENT: Who was the Prime Minister and who was the Foreign Minister on the 24th of March, 1940?

MR. LOGAN: YONAI.

THE PRESIDENT: He is not charged with conspiracy.

MR. LOGAN: We assume he isn't, your Honor, although we do not know on account of that divers other persons allegation in the Indictment. We don't know who is or who isn't. But that, I think, is beside the point.

THE PRESIDENT: He is alive in Japan, I understand, and if they thought he was guilty they would charge him.

MR. LOGAN: But the third point I wished to

make was that here is a statement by the Prime Minister and, say, where there is a charge of conspiracy and the information was given to Japan through the responsible official, the Prime Minister, what did these accused think of this information? Did they conspire after they heard it?

THE PRESIDENT: We have repeatedly told you,
Mr. Logan, or told the defense, that you can raise
that on the individual cases. What each individual is
influenced by is a matter to be dealt with in the
individual case.

MR. LOGAN: That is one of the three points.
But with respect to that, here is the statement that
was made. There would be no question of that when
it comes to the individual case. They can refer back
to this statement that was made by the Prime Minister
and the Foreign Minister.

THE PRESIDENT: We will wait until the individual case comes before we decide what influenced them.

By a majority, the objection is upheld and the document rejected.

MR. LOGAN: I now offer in evidence defense document 220. This was processed for the defense, BR. 133A, by the prosecution out of some of the

3 4

7 8

excerpts which the prosecution introduced into evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: May it please the Tribunal, it is quite true that Foreign Relations Volume II is an exhibit as a whole. But in our submission this excerpt from it is irrelevant. It adds nothing to what we know already, namely, that at this stage, April 1940, all parties were agreed that the war in Europe should not interfere with the status of the Netherlands East Indies.

MR. LOGAN: The Tribunal will recall that when the prosecution was presenting its case, application was made by them to present excerpts, and at that time we were given permission to have the excerpts which the prosecution omitted processed by the prosecution for the defense. We were told at that time that we could read into the record when our time came the parts that the prosecution omitted to make a complete story.

THE PRESIDENT: What bearing has it on this phase, Mr. Logan?

MR. LOGAN: It has this bearing, that at that time Japan was trading with Netherlands East Indies and they were endeavoring to get oil from then, which

we will show later in negotiations that were made at that time, and prosecution also introduced evidence with respect to those oil negotiations.

THE PRESIDENT: We can't see where it suggests any pressure on Japan.

MR. LOGAN: As I said, your Honor, not only is this evidence offered with respect to pressure on Japan, but it is also offered with respect to the efforts made by the Japanese to get civilian goods and materials as well as what the prosecution calls war materials to build up a war machine. Now, if they could not get the materials, they certainly could not build up a war machine for aggressive war.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. LOGAN: Defense document 401-39. This is an excerpt from "Peace and War" which sets forth instructions to Ambassador Grew from Secretary Hull, and admitting that economic pressure had been exerted on Japan for a year and that everything was being done by the United States short of a risk of military hostilities. This is set forth in defense document 401-39, now offered in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the

Tribunal, we object to the admission of this document.

It is not part of the negotiations. It is merely a justification by the United States of its own policy.

It contains nothing new, it throws no fresh light on anything, and, we suggest, is of no assistance whatever to the Tribunal.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: It certainly comes right under the heading of what we are trying to prove and the Secretary admits that the United States had been exerting economic pressure on Japan for a year.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, may I be permitted to say that there can be no dispute that America did impose certain embargoes. That was part of the prosecution case.

THE RESIDENT: Well, that would save a lot of argument and evidence. We realize that. The embargoes and the freezing of assets could have no other purpose.

MR. LOGAN: Of course, there is no evidence in the case yet, your Honor, as to the extent and the effect of those embargoes on Japan. Throughout the Indictment the prosecution always talks about the acts of Japan.

THE PRESIDENT: There is no doubt, really, as

7 8

to their extent.

MR. LOGAN: Well, there is no evidence as to the extent and the effect. In other words, all we have is a situation where an allegation is made against Japan that she was building up a war machine. That is prosecution's claim. We are trying to show, in this division, that Japan could not get those materials which they claim we did get. Somehow or other this is one of the avenues through which they might have gotten them, through the United States, one of their biggest exporters. They didn't get them. That is one point.

Wolf & Lefler

THE PRESIDENT: Perhaps you would be satisfied with the second paragraph, if it is admitted.

MR. LOGAN: Well, that contains the most important part of it, of course.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes. Well, the objection is overruled, and the document is admitted as to the second paragraph only.

"Peace and War, an official publication of the Department of State, United States of America, will receive exhibit No. 2800 for identification only, and the excerpt therefrom, bearing defense document No. 401-39, will receive exhibit No. 2800-A.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2800 for identification only; the excerpt therefrom being marked defense exhibit No. 2800-A and received in evidence.)

MR. LOGAN: I now read exhibit 2800-A, Instructions to Ambassador Grew, the second paragraph:

"On June 28, 1940 the Secretary of State discussed the Far Eastern situation with the British Ambassador and the Australian Minister. In discussing

possible steps to oppose Japanese aggression in the Far East, the Secretary declared that the United States had been exerting conomic pressure on Japan for a year; that the United States Fleet was stationed in the Pacific; and that everything possible was being done 'short of serious risk of actual military hostilities' to keep the Japanese situation stabilized. This course, he added, was the best evidence of the intentions of the United States in the future."

THE PRESIDENT: Do you want any more?

MR. LOGAN: I think this is also material, your Hence

"In regard to a possible settlement between
Japan and China, he set forth two points; first, that
for such a settlement the principles underlying Japanese policy would have to be negatived or at least
seriously modified; second, that properties or
interests of China must not be offered to Japan, or
in other words that peace must not be made with Japan
at the expense of China or of the principles of international policy to which the United States was committed."

We offer in evidence defense document 1400-V-2 being a report from Ambassador Grew to Secretary Hull dated June 4, 1940, being a summary of a press report of an address by the Japanese Minister of Foreign

.

Affairs at the Pacific Society with respect to the Netherlands East Indies trade.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: May it please the Tribunal, in our submission this document is merely a summary of a press report of a speech by Foreign Minister ARITA. It contains nothing whatever which can be of the slightest assistance to the Tribunal in this case.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, this shows the policy of Japan toward the Netherlands

East Indies at that time for maintaining the status quo in that region.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the Court upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. LOGAN: We offer in evidence defense document 1400-F-4, being a proclamation signed by President Roosevelt on July 2, 1940. This is a proclamation prohibiting the export of certain articles and materials unless licenses are obtained.

THE RESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, this is the first of a very large number of similar documents. They deal with the various proclamations issued by the United States Government in connection with the export and import of goods.

2

4

3

7

8

9

10

12.

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

That they are authoritative, genuine documents we can not dispute. As I have already said, we cannot dispute that an embargo was placed on exports and imports. We suggest that the putting into evidence of these documents containing the details of the goods affected by the embargoes is a waste of time and effort. We also suggest that if this is considered to be in any way material or of assistance to the Tribunal, the proper method would have been to have submitted an admission or stipulation covering, in a few sentences, the whole of these documents.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, this document is restricted to war materials -- it is called "strategic commodities" in some places -- and includes all or practically all such materials. That is conceded, I suppose, Brigadier, including steel and wool?

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: I was going to ask permission to add, if it please the Tribunal, that it is shown at the commencement of this document the powers exercised for the purposes of national defense.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, if you admit all that, there is no need for this document, although we understand you to be conceding its relevancy.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, in answer to your question, we certainly i

challenge the relevancy of it.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, we are always prepared to judicially notice proclamations by the American President.

MR. LOGAN: Does that include the articles covered by each proclamation?

THE PRESIDENT: That is part of the proclamation which we would judicially notice.

MR. LDGAN: Well, I would be willing to accept that, your Honor, if the transcript would show each of these articles. My reason for that is this, that Liebert in his testimony has set forth practically all of the same articles covered in all of these proclamations of the President and showing the vital necessity of all these articles for what he called "war purposes." Now, this is the evidence that --

THE PRESIDENT: All I can say is this:
we are going to judicially notice all proclamations
by the President of the United States, and in your
summation you can treat them as part of the evidence
and refer to them. In that way, you will get into
the transcript, as part of your address, whatever
yo think should be in it, but for the time being
... to keep all of this out of the transcript.
It takes too much time.

MR. LOGAN: I therefore ask the Tribunal to take judicial notice of the proclamation No. 2413, signed by President Roosevelt on July 2, 1940, and all the materials and products contained therein.

THE PRESIDENT: We have judicially noticed all the proclamations imposing embargoes. You need not refer to them again until in the course of your summation.

MR. LOGAN: May we have the defense document numbers and dates of each one of these proclamations set forth -- a list of those prepared and set forth in full in the transcript? We can read it perhaps from the lectern tomorrow.

THE PRESIDENT: I do not think there will be any objection if you can put them in some commodious form. I do not think it is necessary to itemize every figure.

MR. LOGAN: Well, we will prepare such a list, your Honor.

If the Tribunal please, it might be better, in a chronological order, to refer to each one of these briefly, the defense document number and the date of the proclamation, as we go along here.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes. You could hand in those proclamations to the Judges. Some of them may want

to read them before you refer to them later in your summation.

MR. LOGAN: That last proclamation is set forth in defense document No. 1400-F-4.

Defense document 1400-G-4 is offered in evidence. This is a press release issued by the White House on July 2, 1940, on the license proclamation --it refers back to defense document 1400-F-4, which was just mentioned -- and advising that the Minister of Export Control has been designated to administer the provisions of the aforesaid law. We do not intend to read any part of that.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, Brigadier.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, our object was to endeavor to save time and labor. If my friend is going to read what he is -- make statements such as he just made with respect to every document, a great deal of time will wasted.

THE PRESIDENT: This is a military order, but the Tribunal may consider taking judicial notice of all orders and proclamations under the hand of the President.

MR. LOGAN: Yes, I asked your Honor to take judicial notice of that. I didn't intend to read any part of it.

understand that although we are prepared to judicially notice the document it does not follow that we will receive it in evidence. Under the statutes in our own countries certain documents may be judicially noticed, documents signed by a Prime Minister or Minister among other things. It does not follow they are always in evidence. They are only in evidence so far as the court decides they are relevant.

MR. LOGAN: Well, I don't want to make any

mistake about this, your Honor, because I am just presenting this evidence on behalf of the defense here at this particular moment.

Australia, these proclamations by, say, the Governor-General of Australia, would be judicially noticed.

They would not be tendered in evidence. They would only be referred to; in fact, anything signed by the Governor-General or the Minister of the Crown. I thought we might apply that here. Although an international court is not bound to do it, we might well do that in the case of the President of a country, head of a country represented on this Court. That would shorten the proceedings tremendously. I don't know why we shouldn't do it.

MR. LOGAN: Of course, that judicial notice practice in the United States is practically the same as what you have just mentioned and the effect of it is to avoid the necessity of proving the genuineness of the document. And, as far as its materiality and relevancy is concerned the rules are practically the same. I want to understand just exactly what we are doing here. If the Court will take judicial notice that on such a date the President issued an order and that it was included in this order these various

commodities, if they will accept that as being relevant to the case, that is what I would like to have.

3

10

14

15

17

20

23

I might state that in so far as the materials mentioned in all these proclamations that Mr. Liebert spent 3 days on his direct testimony alone covering practically all these materials, showing the great necessity for them in building up a war machine.

This evidence shows we couldn't get them.

THE PRESIDENT: Perhaps we should all know in the course of the Trial and before the summations just what we are going to apply of those documents which we can judicially notice.

MR. LOGAN: That is why I say I don't want to be under any misapprehension as to exactly what we are doing here.

or by handing in copies of the documents upon which you intend to rely and which we are prepared to judicially notice if relevant and material. They won't go into the transcript, except the numbers of them, except the description of them. That refers to the proclamations and orders of the President imposing embargoes. We want a record of them at the proper time, now, in the course of the proceedings,

but we do not want them in the transcript. It takes too much time and too much labor. All you have to say as you go along, Mr. Logan, "We invite the Tribunal to take judicial notice of the following document, being -- Just describe them very shortly.

MR. LOGAN: Yes, I will be glad to do it. It will only take a few lines.

We ask the Tribunal to take judicial notice of the release signed by Franklin D. Roosevelt, Commander-in-Chief, on July 2, 1940, being contained in defense document 1400-G-4, showing that the Minister of Export Control has been designated to administer the provisions of the license law of July 2, 1940.

With respect to defense document 1400-I-4 -I am sorry, I skipped 1400-H-4 -- We ask the Tribunal
to take judicial notice of the fact that on July 6, 1940
further proclamation No. 2417 was signed by President
Roosevelt, stating that certain other materials have
been added to the list requiring licenses for exportation
and the document sets forth the list of those materials.
This is contained in defense document 1400-H-4.

We ask the Tribunal to take judicial notice of the regulations, dated July 26, 1940, covering the exportation of articles designated in President Roosevelt's proclamation of July 2, 1940. These

regulations define the terms used in the proclamation of July 26, 1940 as are set forth in defense document 1400-I-4.

If the Tribunal please, I don't know whether this is going to work or not. The accused don't know the terms mentioned in this document.

THE PRESIDENT: We are discussing that, Mr. Logan. We are determined to shorten the matter, but, just in what way, is the question we have to decide.

It seems to be now about the details of embargoes which are admitted to have existed, which have been proved by the prosecution evidence and about matters which are referred to by Liebert, and it may be that when you complete tendering all these proclamations and orders relating to embargoes we will admit them as one exhibit. But, they are not going to be read into the transcript. There is clear determination about that. I think the position may be put this way. You can think over it during the recess.

MR. LOGAN: We may have a suggestion, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: I will put it this way to you: The prosecution have given evidence of these

embargoes, but, you say, not of the extent of the embargoes. Now you are giving the evidence of their extent. We assist you by judicially noticing the President's proclamations and orders, but these proclamations and orders should be marked in some way, and I suggest as exhibits. They could be marked as one exhibit with letters, but we are determined they won't be read. Your position is fully protected because it will be in the transcript as numbers, as exhibit numbers. Think it over, Mr. Logan.

We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1110, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

R 0 & K p 0 a u

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed. THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: My suggestion is that each one of these documents be given an exhibit number and that we ask the Court to take judicial notice of the fact that the orders or proclamations were signed by the President on that date and that they cover the following materials, and then read the materials. I think that would shorten it considerably.

THE PRESIDENT: We have that list, but it is too long.

MR. LOGAN: I might say I do not intend to read every item. Where they are classified as a group -- for example, chemicals or irons -- I will just read the title without going into which type of iron or which type of chemicals.

THE PRESIDENT: We will try it for one document and see how it works.

MR. LOGAN: We will get back to the first one, 1400-F-4. We ask that document 1400-F-4 be given an exhibit number.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1400-F-4 will receive exhibit number 2801.

(Whereupon, the document above referred

23 24

to was marked defense exhibit No. 2801 and received in evidence.)

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, we understand that the question of relevancy is in no way being determined in what we are now discussing -- that that is left open.

THE PRESIDENT: I am afraid we must give our decision on that as we proceed. Otherwise we would have to concede what the defense frequently asked us to do, reserve our decisions on relevancy until the end of the case.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please your Honor, we object to the admission of this document on the ground that it is irrelevant.

THE PRESIDENT: I understand you object to all of them, Brigadier. We have always understood that you object to all of these proclamations and orders by the President in relation to embargoes.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: That is the position, if your Honor pleases.

MR. LOGAN: On the question of relevancy, these documents show the economic warfare that was being conducted against Japan. They also show, in opposition to the prosecution's contention that Japan was building up a war machine, that these materials

which the witness Liebert said were necessary to build up a war machine were not being received by Japan because of these embargoes, and that the United States was the principal pountry from which Japan received such materials. In fact, the United States was the sole scurse of supply of many of them; so that in addition to the world embargoes and the embargo which followed July 2, 1940, it is our contention that this testimony directly controverts that offered by the witness Liebert in so far as the inability of Japan to obtain the materials which he claimed were necessary to build up a war machine are concerned.

THE PRESIDENT: But Japan did build up a war machine and did attack, and therefore must have gotten materials. That seems to be irrelevant.

MR. LOGAN: Well, according to the Indictment, we are accused of conspiring to and actually
preparing for agressive warfare. Now, if as early
as 1938 and 1939 and shortly after July 2, 1940, we
were not getting the materials which they claim were
necessary to build up a war machine, although there
is considerable question as to the weight of that
testimony of Liebert's, then we say these documents
all show the extent of the embargoes and the vast
variety of commodities -- not only war commodities

but civilian commodities -- which were affected by the embargoes.

THE PRESIDENT: They don't show quantities.

They do show that war materials were prevented from leaving the United States for Japan. That is a common ground. But what more can you do?

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

23

24

MR. LOGAN: Of course, they don't show quantities, your Honor, but they do show a total bar of exportation of these goods to Japan, which means that they did not get any at all.

THE PRESIDENT: That is common ground. The prosecution admit it.

MR. LOGAN: I would like to read for your Honor's consideration this statement which was made by Brigadier Quilliam, and tie that up with Liebert's testimony, that these materials were necessary to build up a war machine -- these very materials contained in these documents.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, I think it is sufficient to admit that America deprived you of all materials from the United States and that they did so because you were waging a war against China.

MR. LOGAN: The United States does not claim that, your Honor; they claim they were withholding the sending of these materials for reasons of the national defense of the United States.

THE PRESIDENT: Now, it was you that suggested that you wanted the arms for use against China. You could assign no other reason for getting them.

MR. LOGAN: There was a war going on with China at that time and we have admitted that part

of the importations received were being used for that purpose, but that a great part of it was being used for the economy of the Japanese people.

May I read this statement made by Brigadier Quilliam? Pages 8,183 and 8,184 of the record:

warfare requires the use of vast quantities of equipment of all kinds, and it is obvious that Japan's attack against Pearl Harbor, Malaya, and other places from December 1941 onwards must have been preceded by large scale industrial preparations. The evidence of Mr. Liebert will show the comprehensive nature of those preparations during the years prior to 1942, how they affected Japan's whole economy and every aspect of the life and activities of its people, and how they were directed to the object of achieving by the year 1941 not only the maximum production of the equipment and supplies necessary to enable her aggressive plans to be executed, but also the maximum potential for future maximum production.

THE PRESIDENT: I wouldn't read any mome of it, Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: I don't intend to. Bearing in mind that Liebert testified to the whole gamut of materials which he claimed necessary to build up

of the importations received were being used for that purpose, but that a great part of it was being used for the economy of the Japanese people.

May I read this statement made by Brigadier Quilliam? Pages 8,183 and 8,184 of the record:

warfare requires the use of vast quantities of equipment of all kinds, and it is obvious that Japan's attack against Pearl Harbor, Malaya, and other places from December 1941 onwards must have been preceded by large scale industrial preparations. The evidence of Mr. Liebert will show the comprehensive nature of those preparations during the years prior to 1942, how they affected Japan's whole economy and every aspect of the life and activities of its people, and how they were directed to the object of achieving by the year 1941 not only the maximum production of the equipment and supplies necessary to enable her aggressive plans to be executed, but also the maximum potential for future maximum production.

THE PRESIDENT: I wouldn't read any mome of it, Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: I don't intend to. Bearing
in mind that Liebert testified to the whole gamut
of materials which he claimed necessary to build up

Barton & Yelden

the war machine, this evidence which lists these very self-same items shows that Japan was not receiving from the United States at this time or prior thereto the very materials which prosecution claims was necessary to build up a war machine and the United States was the largest exporter of these materials to Japan, and in many instances the sole exporter. In addition to that it also, of course, goes, as I said, to the economic warfare and the defense of these accused that this economic strangulation of all these vital materials not only for the war she was engaged in with China but also for civilian consumption which resulted in Japan's being driven as a last resort for her own self-preservation and self-defense to get involved in the Pacific war.

know the contention of the prosecution. It is common ground that the League of Nations declared the war against China to be an aggressive war and thereby imposed obligations on the United States. We know the respective contentions very well, Mr. Logan. I could see no objection to your proving just what the embargo is against, but you are not satisfied to do that. You want to go on giving a lot of documents, wasting our time quite unnecessarily.

MR. LOGAN: I thought the suggestion I made would shorten it considerably.

of the embargo's existence. The only thing that has not been established is the exact nature of the--

MR. LOGAN: That is right.

THE PRESIDENT: --war materials that were not allowed to be exported to Japan.

MR. LOGAN: And civilian materials too.

THE PRESIDENT: It is common ground that
the embargo was against everything that could be used
in war but did include things that could be used for
civilian purposes as well as for war purposes. If you
are ellowed to state just what these goods were -there is only a short category -- that would save a
lot of trouble. It would save all this argument.
The question of its relevancy is contested, of course.

MR. LOGAN: That is all I intend to read,
your Monor; just the title of it, the date of it, and
the subjects covered.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal
with reference to the question of relevancy, which I
aderstand the Tribunal is going to deal with now, I
desire to make one or two comments. The evidence of

, 1

Mr. Liebert in this respect has, I suggest, been totally misconstrued by Mr. Logan. His evidence paid full account to the difficulties of getting certain goods from America and other countries. May I remind the Tribunal that a great part of his evidence deals with the desperate efforts made by Japan to get those things in other ways? His evidence showed and other evidence has shown the efforts made to exploit other countries in order to get these goods. The suggestion that this kind of evidence answers anything of the evidence given by Mr. Liebert is quite unsound.

As regards the so-called economic strangulation aspect, we submit, as we have submitted previously, that what was done by the United States could afford no justification to Japan for starting a war. As I pointed out yesterday, the United States was obviously actuated by more than one motive, by several motives.

THE PRESIDENT: But she was not bound by the League of Nations covenant, of course. That declaration by the League may have imposed moral obligations on the United States under the Pact of Paris and certainly gave her rights.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: The point I wish to make, those obligations under the Pact of Paris extended not only to the East but to Europe. It is a complete

misstatement, we suggest, to say that all these embargoes were directed merely against Japan. The United States was properly concerned with the aggression that was taking place in Europe. She was properly concerned with the threat to her own national security that all this aggression was bringing about. We therefore say that it is quite irrelevant to the issues in this case to point to what is called "economic strangulation" as justifying what my friend somewhat euphemistically called "becoming involved in the Pacific war."

are in today. We have a Court of eight. We are faced with a very major issue and we are inclined to be as liberal as possible for the time being in receiving evidence, subject to rejection later, of course. When we have a full bench it may be that they will not be inclined to act on some of the evidence we would otherwise receive here. We are inclined to receive this evidence you are tendering but we expect you to put it before us in the briefest form and we may delay our decision on it until we have a full bench which will be before the end of this month. We are dealing with the major issue of self-defense. Although a majority of us may have a certain view about the

3

5

6

8

10

11 12

13 14

16

17

19

20

22

23

24

25

relevancy of this evidence we feel that we should refrain from stating that view or giving effect to it until we are all here.

Could you proceed with some other matters and withhold this proclamation material to be heard before all the Judges?

MR. LOGAN: I have it all arranged chronologically, your Honor. I would like to present it that way.

THE PRESIDENT: We had better receive it subject to the objection of the prosecution but without giving our decision.

MR. LOGAN: All right. I shall read from exhibit 2801, proclamation signed by President Roose-velt, July 2, 1940. This is a proclamation prohibiting the export of certain articles and materials unless licenses are obtained.

THE PRESIDENT: It is understood that these documents are admitted tentatively only.

MR. LOGAN: Yes. (Reading):

"1. Arms, ammunition, and implements of war as defined in my Proclamation No. 2237, of May 1, 1937.

"2. The following basic materials and products containing the same:

"Aluminum, antimony, asbestos, chromium,

cotton linters, flax, graphite, hides, industrial diamonds, manganese, magnesium, Manila fiber, mercury, mica, molybdenum, optical glass, platinum group metals, quartz crystals, quinine, rubber, silk, tin, toluol, tungsten, vanadium, wool;" chemicals as listed in the document, and the following products:

"Aircraft parts, equipment, and accessories other than those listed in my proclamation of May 1, 1937; armor plate, other than that listed in my proclamation of May 1, 1937; glass, nonshatterable or bullet proof; plastics, optically clear; optical elements for fire control instruments, aircraft instruments, etc."; and machine tools as set forth in the document.

I ask that document 1400-G-4 be given an exhibit number.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1400-G-4 will receive exhibit No. 2801-A.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2801-A, and received in evidence.)

MR. LOGAN: I shall summarize that document by saying that exhibit 2801-A shows that on July 2, 1940 the President signed House of Representatives bill and issued military order as Commander in

Chief designating Lieutenant Colonel Russell L.

Maxwell, United States Army, as administrator of

Export Control to administer the bill of July 2, 1940.

I ask that defense document 1400-H-4 be given an exhibit number.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1400-H-4 will receive exhibit No. 2801-B.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2801-B and received in evidence.)

MR. LOGAN: Exhibit 2801-B is a proclamation signed by President Roosevelt July 26, 1940 and the following products were added to the embargo list: petroluem products, tetraethyl lead, iron and steel scrap.

I ask that defense document 1400-I-4 be given an exhibit number.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1400-I-4 will receive exhibit No. 2801-C.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2801-C and received in evidence.)

MR. LOGAN: Exhibit 2801-C is a regulation signed by President Franklin D. Roosevelt July 26, 1940 wherein he defines in greater detail petroleum

products, tetraethyl lead, and the iron and steel scrap as set forth in his proclamation of July 26, 1940.

We now offer in evidence defense document

401-B-5, corrected, being an excerpt from "Peace and
War." This document shows that Ambassador HORINOUCHI

vigorously protested that the Export Control Act of
July 2, 1940, under which licenses were refused beginning
in August 1940, was considered an unfriendly act by
Japan.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, I am not clear whether the Tribunal proposes to treat a document like this in the same way as the other documents that have just been put in. In our submission it is irrelevant.

THE PRESIDENT: We are dealing only with the President's proclamations and orders. This is not one. I think we will have to give our decision on this as we have previously. We have dealt with these "Peace and War" things.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: In addition to this being irrelevant we suggest that this is immaterial, but as it appears to us this must stand or fall with those other documents.

THE PRESIDENT: This is not on the same level as a Presidential act or proclamation. We are not reserving our decision on all documents bearing on this particular issue of defense; that is not our intention.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: I did not mean, your Honor, to suggest that it was on the level of a proclamation. What I meant was that relevancy of this document is challenged on the same grounds as the proclamations. If the proclamations--

THE PRESIDENT: I think that probably we will decide now on whether this particular document should be admitted or rejected. We will not reserve that for the consideration of the other three; but didn't we have a similar document yesterday?

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: My attention is directed, your Honor, to defense document 401 (42) which was rejected yesterday afternoon.

THE PRESIDENT: How do you distinguish, Mr. Logan?

MR. LOGAN: I had not realized, your Honor;
I think both of these refer to the same protest. The
other one is a nuch longer document but refers to the
same thing so I guess the ruling of the Court yesterday
will apply to this document.

MR. LOGAN: I suggest that this one should be admitted, your Honor, on the ground that here is a direct protest by the Japanese ambassador to the very actions that the United States was taking. I think it all goes to the fact that Japan was -- it shows the expression by a representative, duly representative authority, of Japan that they vigorously protested the actions that were being taken by the United States at that time. I think it all goes to our defense. It must be remembered that Japan's action taken in many instances was based on the reaction to the actions that were taken by other A, B, C, and D powers.

THE PRESIDENT: I cannot recall the exact terms of the document rejected yesterday; however, we will adjourn until half-past one.

(Whereupon, at 1205, a recess was taken.)

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

## AFTERNOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1330.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed. THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: I don't want the Tribunal to be under a misapprehension. That last document we offered is part of defense document 401-42, which was previously rejected.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection is upheld and the document rejected.

MR. LOGAN: We have a witness from yesterday's section. I would like to call him now, UEMURA, Kogaro, defense document 1924.

UEMURA, called as a witness on KOGORO behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. LOGAN:

Will you give the Tribunal your full name and address?

18 19

20 21

22

24

25

My name is UEMURA, Kogoro. My address, Tokyo City, Shibuya Ku, Sendagaya, 3 Chome 496. Q Will you examine defense document 1924 and the errata sheet attached thereto, and tell us if that is your affidavit? Yes, it is my affidavit. 6 Are the statements contained therein accurate 7 and true? 9 Yes, it is accurate. A 10 MR. LOGAN: I offer in evidence defense docu-11 ment 1924 and the errata sheet attached to it. 12 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 13 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1924 14 will receive exhibit No. 2802. 15 (Whereupon, the document above re-16 ferred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2802 17 and received in evidence.) 18 MR. LOGAN: I shall now read exhibit 2802, 19 affidavit of UEMURA, Kogoro. 20 "I, UEMURA, Kogoro, immediately after being 21 graduated from the Law College of the Imperial Uni-22 versity in 1918, entered the service of the Commerce 23

Bureau of the Agriculture and Commerce Ministry, and

successively filled the posts of factory supervisor,

commissioner of the Patent Bureau and private

24

secretary of the Minister of Agriculture and Commerce.

"In 1925, when the Commerce and Industry
Ministry was separated from the Agriculture and
Commerce Ministry, I was attached to the Engineering Bureau, and concurrently held the posts of commissioner and secretary of Commerce and Industry.

"In 1925 I went abroad to Europe and America to investigate the industrial conditions there and returned in 1926.

"In 1927, when the Bureau of National
Resources was established in the Cabinet, I was
attached thereto and occupied the post of Chief of
the Research and Investigation Section. Later, I was
promoted to Chief of the General Affairs Section, and
then to Chief of the Executive Department.

"In October, 1937, when the Planning Board was created, I was appointed Chief of the Research and Investigation Department, and in July, 1938, became the Chief of the Industry Department. In 1939, when the structure of the Planning Board was reformed, I became the Chief of the 4th Section of the Planning Board with industrial affairs in its charge. In January, 1940, I became the Vice-Director of the

Planning Board, and resigned on August 13, 1940.

UEMURA

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

"Circumstances connected with the Establishment of the Planning Board. It was made clear by various inquiries and reports that, in view of the experiences in World War I, every European and American country is striving after the war towards research and investigation of industrial and the general mobilizations. In our country, too, the Munitions Bureau was established in the Cabinet a year after I entered the government service, that is, in 1919, under the jurisdiction of which were placed the enforcement of the Munitions Industry Mobilization Law enacted in 1916, and other affairs concerning the preparation for the general mobilization in industry, communication, etc. Later on, this bureau was merged with the Statistics Bureau of the Cabinet to form the Census Bureau. But later on this, too, was abolished and the affairs in its charge were allocated to various ministries.

"In 1927, it was deemed necessary to conduct fundamental investigations of not only the munitions mobilization, but also the promotion of general industries and national wealth, and formulate plans based on this. Therefore, for the planning of controlled application of the resources in general and

conducting investigations, the National Resources
Bureau was established and put under the jurisdiction of the local Minister. The business of the
National Resources Bureau was divided into three
groups:

- "(1) to conduct investigations necessary for the control of national resources;
- "(2) To frame plans for the controlled application of national resources and preparation of control laws, etc. relative thereto;
- "(3) matters concerning a domestic establishment relative to the above, for instance, measures for complementing the shortage of national resources.

"The meaning of the last-mentioned 'matters concerning a domestic establishment' was to frame some specific plans which were deemed necessary for an increase of the national strength, and refer them to the ministry concerned, recommending their materialization.

"However, as the National Resources Bureau was a minor bureau attached to the Cabinet without any specific authority over any ministry, the ministry would not do anything more than merely listen to the plan for its information in case such was referred

thereto by the Bureau.

"Thus, nothing could be accomplished in this line except a partial standardization of industrial technical terms then used in Japan. On the other hand, the investigations of the national resources made gradual progress after passage of the National Resources Investigation Law in 1929. About the plan for the control of national resources, the representatives of the Army, Navy, and other departments concerned met in a conference, and the plan including the rough estimate of the wartime demand submitted by the Army and Navy Departments has been drafted since 1929 or so, but it was nothing more than an extremely rough, so-called desk plan.

"After 1931, as the international situation gradually worsened, the remarkable economic depression in Japan centered in the rural communities broke out and consequently the social and political insecurities became aggravated during the Cabinets of WAKATSUKI, INUKAI, SAITO, and OKADA. As a result the government deeply felt it necessary that the Cabinet should have a department or bureau directly attached to it to investigate thoroughly the important national policies, and at the same time to promote the said national policies by unifying the opinions of each department,

so that the government might cope with or overcome such situations.

"For this purpose the Cabinet Investigation Bureau was established. This was in May, 1935, that is, during the OKADA Cabinet. After this Investigation Bureau was set up, matters concerning the political and economic measures which the National Resources Bureau was unable to carry out came in essence under the jurisdiction of this Bureau. However, this Investigation Bureau was reformed into the Planning Bureau in May, 1937.

"As soon as the North China Incident broke out in July, 1937, the general public felt uneasy about the prospect, and the tendency to import cotton, etc. in speculation became more and more conspicuous. If this matter were to be left to take its own course there might arise a remarkable deficit in the exchange fund which had been in deficit heretofore, and the maintenance of exchange rate might become difficult. Fear increased. Therefore, the Finance Department established a commission and subcommissions for each respective item to regulate the import and made them regulate the imports upon drafting the import plan. But, contrary to the expectations, the incident expanded more and more, and the government

7 8

keenly felt the necessity of establishing synthetic plans and measures throughout all departments, in order to cope with this situation. A proposal to establish a larger scale office by merging the existing Pl anning Bureau and the National Resources Bureau was brought forward. In so far as the executive officials of the then National Resources Bureau were concerned, they simply considered that by simply increasing the personnel of the bureau the needs would be met. However, the two offices were merged in accordance with the government's views and in October, 1937, the Planning Board was established.

"Functions of the Planning Board. The powers of the Planning Board were essentially the same as those of the National Resources Bureau and Planning Bureau combined for the reason that the Planning Board was instituted by the merger of those two offices as stated above. Its major powers were to plan out the matters concerning the development and application of the synthetic national strength as the premier's staff; to investigate any reports that the premier would tender and all matters that were presented by each ministry; to state opinions at cabinet conferences upon such reports and matters;

and to regulate and unify all the affairs of each
ministry in regard to certain matters. However, the
planning Board was to the end the premier's staff,
and it had no authority to give orders to the various
ministers or to enforce anything. Furthermore, it
had also no function whatsoever to execute such.
The national policies were all decided at cabinet
conferences and, consequently, every ministry brought
it in operation according to its jurisdiction.

"The Plan for Expanding the Production Potential.

"The establishment of the plan for expanding the production potential was another important task assigned to the Planning Board among the matters concerning commodities. This plan for expanding the production potential was taken up later than that for the mobilization of materials. At that time, the need of military expansion was strongly advocated as an unavoidable measure to cope with the prolongation and extension of the China Incident, and the aggravation of the international situation. Primarily, however, the development of our basic industries was markedly lagging behind the world powers', so the necessity of all-out development of national resources, by means of expansion of the basic industries, was acutely felt by all.

"It was July of the 13th year of Showa (1938)
when I was made the Chief of the Industrial Section
that I first occupied myself with the plan for
expanding of the production potential. At that time
the draft of each industry placed under each planning
scheme was almost completed. These drafts had been
under study since the time of the Planning Bureau and I
was told that the Industrial Section was continuing

these studies. When I took the post of the Chief of the Industrial Section I found that the five-year plan for Manchukuo had already been begun in the 12th year (1937).

"As it was considered necessary to set up a year plan in Japan, too, we at last came to establish the plan based upon the synthetic readjustment of the drafts already compiled. At first we modeled ours also along the lines of a five-year plan. But as we had to draft a collective plan in coordination with that of Manchukuo and in order to make both terminal periods correspond so that we could start our second plan together with Manchukuo, we had in our case to adopt a four-year plan. On the other hand, this point was most appropriate in view of the rapidly changing economic conditions of the time.

"It was in January of the 14th year of Showa (1939) that the cabinet positively decided on the matter, so that only three months remained of the 13th fiscal year. Accordingly the plan became substantially three-year one. Not everything was to be embraced by the plan, of course. It was intended as stated before, to establish a second plan after the expiration of the first."

We will skip a sentence.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

"The duty of the Planning Board was to adjust the demands of the Army and Navy Departments, as well as those of other departments. Candidly speaking, the primary reason why the Planning Board established the plan for the expansion of the productive potential was to aspire to a well-balanced development of the industries of our country. So the Planning Board has always endeavored its utmost to secure the materials allocated for the expansion of productive potential. However, on account of increasing demand for war materials caused by the extension of China Incident, the allocations of the materials for the expansion of capacity productive potential were not carried out as expected by the Planning Board. So the plan for the expansion of the productive potential did not progress as it was planned.

"For what we call the fixed year for planning, the fiscal year is adopted as a matter of course, in view of its relation to the budget and the yearly material mobilization plan, etc. Therefore, the last year of the four-year plan of the productive potentials for the expansion commenced in the month of April, of the 16th year of Showa (1941) and finished on the last day of March of the 17th year of Showa (1942). I should think that this plan was

20 21

22

23 24

"The duty of the Planning Board was to adjust the demands of the Army and Navy Departments, as well as those of other departments. Candidly speaking. the primary reason why the Planning Board established the plan for the expansion of the productive potential was to aspire to a well-balanced development of the industries of our country. So the Planning Board has always endeavored its utmost to secure the materials allocated for the expansion of productive potential. However, on account of increasing demand for war materials caused by the extension of China Incident, the allocations of the materials for the expansion of capacity productive potential were not carried out as expected by the Planning Board. So the plan for the expansion of the productive potential did not progress as it was planned.

"For what we call the fixed year for planning, the fiscal year is adopted as a matter of course, in view of its relation to the budget and the yearly material mobilization plan, etc. Therefore, the last year of the four-year plan of the productive potentials for the expansion commenced in the month of April, of the 16th year of Showa (1941) and finished on the last day of March of the 17th year of Showa (1942). I should think that this plan was

1 2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

21

23

1

5

6

8

9

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

22

24 25

"The duty of the Planning Board was to adjust the demands of the Army and Navy Departments, as well as those of other departments. Candidly speaking. the primary reason why the Planning Board established the plan for the expansion of the productive potential was to aspire to a well-balanced development of the industries of our country. So the Planning Board has always endeavored its utmost to secure the materials allocated for the expansion of productive potential. However, on account of increasing demand for war materials caused by the extension of China Incident, the allocations of the materials for the expansion of capacity productive potential were not carried out as expected by the Planning Board. So the plan for the expansion of the productive potential did not progress as it was planned.

"For what we call the fixed year for planning, the fiscal year is adopted as a matter of course, in view of its relation to the budget and the yearly material mobilization plan, etc. Therefore, the last year of the four-year plan of the productive potentials for the expansion commenced in the month of April, of the 16th year of Showa (1941) and finished on the last day of March of the 17th year of Showa (1942). I should think that this plan was

adequately studied at the Army Department. But I have no notion at all of the existence of a five-year plan for manufacture of the war materials of the War Ministry dated June 23, 1937 (the 12th year of Showa), nor that of a five-year plan for the important industries, dated May 29, of the same year, both of which are now being brought in issue at the Tokyo Military Tribunal for the Far East.

"The National Mobilization Law.

"One of the important items with which the Planning Board was concerned was the National Mobilization Law. The National Mobilization Law was prescribed at a cabinet council meeting on 9 November 1937, after the outbreak of the China Incident, was approved by the 73rd Session, and was brought into force on and after 5 May 1938.

"Mr. TAKI, Masao, President of the Planning
Board at that time, was ordered by the Prime Minister,
KONOE, after the decision of the cabinet meeting
regarding its establishment, to the effect that the
Planning Board should devise the National Mobilization
Law cooperating with other departments, and take charge
of its drafting after frequent negotiations with the
other departments concerned. The bill thus drafted
was further approved formally by the cabinet council

: 5

meeting, and was presented to the Diet under the joint signatures of each minister, according to my recollection

"As a law regarding the general mobilization at the time, the Ammunition Industries Mobilization Law passed in 1918 was still valid. It included the provisions applicable to a considerably wide scope to the mining industry and to communications. Thus, in order to correspond with the development of the China Incident, this Ammunition Industries Mobilization Law was applied for the time being, under Law No. 88, dated 10 September 1937, and there was further established an Imperial decree, based upon the same law, which is called the Industry and Business Control Ordinance, on 25 September to control some munition workds. Besides, in the 73rd session of the Imperial Diet at that time, a great number of extraordinary measures was enacted and put into effect, such as remedial ones for the future change of conditions anticipated with the occurrence of the China Incident. The China Incident, however, expanded more and more until it was clearly indicated that it would be prolonged. International relations too became so serious as to bring about an unforeseen state of affairs. In order to take complete action to cope with such a situation, the Ammunition Industries

11

10

2

3

13

14 15

16

18

19

20

22

23

Mobilization Law enacted some 20 years previously and the separate temporary measures were by no means sufficient. Besides, Japan was backward in preparation for national mobilization as compared with other countries. So, it was decided to enact speedily the National Mobilization Law.

"Available at that time were the laws and regulations of European and American countries during the time of World War I, such as Britain's uniform National Defence Law, and subsequent legislation, such as Italy's and Czechoslovakia's National Mobilization Law, and the U. S. National Mobilization Law Bill (Bill 5,539, introduced by the Lower House in the 74th Session of Congress, in 1935, and then under the consideration of the Upper House) to which we referred for our drafting of the Mobilization Law. I remember that Czechoslovakia's Mobilization Law and also the U. S. Mobilization Law Bill were so perfect as to furnish us with much information.

"The National Mobilization Law of Japan thus enacted went into effect in May 1938, and the Munitions Industry Mobilization Law was abolished at the same time.

"Later on, in conformity to this National Mobilization Law were issued a number of Imperial

Ordinances which were proposed and executed by each of the competent ministries, but the Planning Board, as previously stated, has absolutely no authority to execute the policies of the government." Signed, "UEMUF.A, Kogoro." 5 6 You may examine. 7 THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Williams. MR. G. WILLIAMS: If the Tribunal please, 8

I have a couple of additions: 1 questions on direct examination.

THE PRESIDENT: On behalf of --MR. G. WILLIAMS: Cn behalf of the defendant HOSHINO, sir.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. G. WILLIAMS:

Witness, you testified that the President of the Planning Board acted as part of the Premier's staff. Would you further elaborate briefly on the relation between the Premie: and the President of the Planning Board?

The President of the Planning Board is a subordinate officer to the Premier. This is specifically stated in the official organization law. The President of the Planning Board is under the jurisdiction of the Premier. Furthermore, under the

20 21

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

3

4

5

6

7

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

official organization law, the Planning Board was entitled to express its views or opinions with regard to the various comprehensive plans set up by the various individual ministries and also to express its views in these matters which were brought up before cabinet meetings, and similarly it was permitted to express views with regard to matters pertaining to the budget which had connection with such matters. But at all times the Planning Board was obligated to speak 9 or express its views through the Premier and not 10 11 directly. 12

Why did you resign from the Planning Board in August of 1940?

The reason that I resigned was that Mr. HOSHINO, who was the President of the Planning Board at that time, had expressed his wish that henceforth the Planning Board must be operated under a close collaboration between the military, the officials, and the civilians, together. Accordingly, he felt that the vice director of such a board should be selected from an influential person from business circles, not from official circles. Therefore, I resigned.

Who replaced you, Mr. UEMURA?

Mr. OBATA, Tadayoshi, from the SUMITOMO firm. MR. G. WILLIAMS: You may cross-examine.

4

23 24

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam. BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, there will be no cross-examination. MR. LOGAN: May the witness be released on the usual terms? THE PRESIDENT: He is released accordingly. (Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam. BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, there will be no cross-examination. MR. LOGAN: May the witness be released on the usual terms? THE PRESIDENT: He is released accordingly. (Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

MR. LOGAN: Defense document 1400-J-4 1 2 is offered in evidence. This is a protest dated August , 1940 issued by the Japanese Embassy to the Department of State against the virtual embargo of July 2, 1940.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, we submit that this document is covered by the ruling with respect to the last document offered in this section which was rejected.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: In view of subsequent events, your Honor, I think it is incumbent upon the defense to show that they took exception to these actions of the United States, that Japan took exception to those actions and that they certainly did not acquiesce in them.

I only wish to read the last 2 paragraphs, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan, can you answer whether Germany was included among the nations to whom gasoline could be sent?

MR. LOGAN: I believe that was barred at the same time, your Honor, but I would have to look back . at my documents to ascertain that definitely.

20 21

7

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

22

24

25

Brigadier Quilliam says that it is quite clear. Brigadier Quilliam says that it is so, Germany was barred.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the Court upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. LOGAN: I shall omit the next document, which was an answer to the previous protest.

We offer in evidence defense document 1874, being an extract from a telegram dated September 12, 1940 from Ambassador Grew to Secretary Hull.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: If it please the Tribunal, the prosecution objects to this document which is nothing from beginning to end except the opinion entertained by Mr. Grew at that time.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, this is an official document, an extract of a telegram sent by Ambassador Grew to Secretary of State. It is an official announcement of the Ambassador to his superior. It certainly is entitled to be admitted in this case in view of the many, many telegrams which were introduced by the prosecution of similar nature. It is a warning of which I think the defense should be entitled to introduce in this case.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, no telegrams

of a similar nature were introduced by the prosecution. Telegrams passing between Japanese Ambassadors and Ministers were no doubt introduced even if they did contain opinion, but as between United States or Allied Ambassadors and their Ministers only by way of proof of facts.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please --MR. COMYNS CARR: One minute, I haven't finished.

MR. LOGAN: It is my understanding that once the prosecution made an objection they wouldn't have two chances to come back again.

MR. COMYNS CARR: It comes rather oddly from my friend i who has argued the same point over and over again on almost every objection, but in this case, I submit, I am particularly entitled to intervene to correct a misstatement of fact.

MR. LOGAN: There is no misstatement.

THE PRESIDENT: We said yesterday we wouldn't allow Washington officials to form-our opinions as to what the Japanese were likely to do as the result of the embargo. This is exactly the same, is it not?

MR. LOGAN: This is proof of fact that the United States was warned as to the probable consequences. THE PRESIDENT: That was everybody's guess.

23

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Everybody was entitled to one. The most particular virtue attached to his. MR. LOGAN: The virtue attached to it, your Honor, is that Ambassador Grew is on the spot here, and knew what was going on and that it was part of his duties to convey his impressions and 7 interpretations of the affairs that were taking place in Japan at that time and convey them to his Government. THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the Court 10 upholds the objection and rejects the document. 11 MR. LOGAN: We offer in evidence defense 12 document 1400-L-4. 14 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 15 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 16 1400-L-4 will received exhibit No. 2803. 17 (Whereupon, the document above 18 referred to was marked defense exhibit 19 No. 2803 and received in evidence.) 20 THE PRESIDENT: This is to be admitted 21 tentatively in view of what we said this morning. 22 MR. LOGAN: This proclamation was signed 23 by President Roosevelt September 12, 1940. The list of commodities included in the embargo was as follows: "1. Equipment which can be used, or adopted

24

to use, for the production of aviation motor fuel from petroleum, petroleum products, hydrocarbons, or hydrocarbon mixtures, by processes involving chemical change. "Equipment which can be used, or adopted 6 to use, for the production of tetraethyl lead; and 7 any plans useful in the design, construction, or 8 operation of any such equipment, or in connection with any such processes. "Plans, specifications, and other documents 10 11 containing descriptive or technical information setting forth the design or construction of aircraft or aircraft engines." Defense document 1400-M-4 which is a press 14 release issued by the Federal Loan Agency September 15 25, 1940 is offered in evidence. This release demonstrates the economic assistance which was granted to China by the United States at a time when 18 19 China and Japan were engaged in hostilities. 20 21 22 23

25

24

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

bunch, we contend that this document comes within the principle of the documents, which have been rejected, do ling with the embargo. We claim that it is irrelevant to the issues in this case to bring in evidence relating to assistance given to China by America.

THE PRESIDENT: Any argument on this, Mr. Logan?

MR. LOGAN: Well, I think it is almost selfevident that this should be admitted. It certainly
is very material to the defense that it is economic,
and this borders somewhat on the military sentiment
of Japan. It is certainly material to show the
actions of other nations, assistance rendered to
China, which at that time was engaged in a war with
Japan, especially when that aid was rendered by a
neutral nation to one of two belligerents. Such
actions would certainly tend toward the Japanese regarding it as a provocation of war.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the Court upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. LOGAN: We now offer defense document 1400-N-4. This is a proclamation issued by the White

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

bunch, we contend that this document comes within the principle of the documents, which have been rejected, do ling with the embargo. We claim that it is irrelevant to the issues in this case to bring in evidence relating to assistance given to China by anorica.

THE PRESIDENT: Any argument on this, Mr. Logan?

MR. LOGAN: Well, I think it is almost selfevident that this should be admitted. It certainly
is very material to the defense that it is economic,
and this borders somewhat on the military sentiment
of Japan. It is certainly material to show the
actions of other nations, assistance rendered to
China, which at that time was engaged in a war with
Japan, especially when that aid was rendered by a
neutral nation to one of two belligerents. Such
actions would certainly tend toward the Japanese regarding it as a provocation of war.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the Court upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. LOGAN: We now offer defense document

1400-N-4. This is a proclamation issued by the White

S.

House, September 25, 1940.

2

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE PRESIDENT: Tentatively admitted.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1400-N-4 will receive exhibit No. 2804.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2804 and received in evidence.)

MR. LOGAN: This is exhibit 2804 and refers to the control, effective October 15, 1940, of the exportation of iron and steel scrap, the exportation of No. 1 heavy melting steel scrap, and all grades of iron and steel scrap. It also shows that effective October 16, 1940, "licenses will be issued to permit shipments to the countries of the Western Hemisphere and Great Britain only."

We now offer defense document 1400-0-4. THE PRESIDENT: Tentatively admitted. CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1400-0-4 will receive exhibit No. 2805.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2805 and received in evidence.)

MR. LOGAN: This is a regulation signed by President Roosevelt on September 30, 1940, defining the use of the term "iron and steel scrap" as

contained in the regulation of July 26, 1940, to mean "all iron and steel scrap of every kind and description, classified or unclassified."

We now offer in evidence defense document 1400-P-4. On October 7, 1940, the Japanese Embassy wrote to the Department of State complaining about the regulation dated September 30, 1940, prohibiting the exportation of iron and steel scrap, contesting the American position that the measure was motivated in the interest of national defense and further stating that the regulations must be regarded as an unfriendly act.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: If it please the Tribunal, Mr. Logan's explanation has shown the Tribunal
that this is merely another protest against the United
States exportation regulation, and we submit it should
be rejected on the same grounds as caused the rejection
of the other documents.

MR. LOGAN: I might say, if the Tribunal please, that it seems to the defense that a protest such as this is clearly admissible, not only on the grounds of the affirmative defense that a war was conducted in self defense, but it is also closely allied and connected with the diplomatic negotiations,

B

and it also --

THE PRESIDENT: It is admitted that you did not accept these embargoes without protest. That appears in the negotiations. There is ample evidence of that. That is all you need. Even if relevant and material, it is surplusage, it is accumulative.

MR. LOGAN: I don't recall any other evidence in the case at the present time, your Honor, where it was brought to the United States' attention of the Japanese regarding the imposition of these embargoes as an unfriendly action.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the Court upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. LOGAN: On October 8, 1940, the Japanese Ambassador handed an undated note to the Secretary of State wherein he pointed out that the regulation of September 30, 1940, restricting the granting of licenses for shipment of iron and steel scrap for exportation to the Western Hemisphere and Great Britain was causing a widespread depression in Japan, that it was motivated by a desire to bring pressure on Japan and expressing fear that it would be a fore-runner to severance of economic relations between Japan and the United States, and that future relations

between those two countries would be unpredictable.

This message is set forth in defense document 1400-Q-4

which is offered in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

bunal, this document is almost precisely the same as the document which has just been rejected. It deals with the same subject matter, the same article. I regret that I was unable to intervene earlier because I could not see what Mr. Logan was doing, what document he was reading.

MR. LOGAN: They are entirely two different documents, your Honor. The first one is a letter that was sent, and this is entirely different. It is an undated statement which was handed at the same time the previous document was given to the Secretary of State.

THE PRESIDENT: Another protest or something of the kind. By a majority, the objection is upheld and the document rejected.

MR. LOGAN: As evidence of further tightening of the economic pressure of Japan, we offer a press release issued by the White House on October 15, 1940, defense document 1400-R-4.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

-

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, this is a reply to protests about the exportation regulations, and we submit, therefore, that it must fall under the principle laid down by the Tribunal. We ask for its rejection.

MR. LOGAN: I don't know whether I understood the Brigadier correctly. This is not a reply to the protests, as I see it.

Reichers & Kapleau

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: No, I regret, your Honor, it is not a reply. It is the same kind of thing as we have been dealing with before, namely, the export regulations.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, should it be admitted tentatively, Brigadier? That is what I want to know.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: If it please the Tribunal, this is not a proclamation.

THE PRESIDENT: No, it isn't.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: And the next document to be submitted, which is the one I confused it with, is the reply to -- is a protest about this.

THE PRESIDENT: We admitted a press release some minutes ago, where it really contains some presidential act. It should go in tentatively.

MR. LOGAN: This will be difficult to summarize, if the Tribunal please. I think it would be easier to read it. We have a translation of it.

THE CLERK: Defense Document 1400-R-4 will receive Exhibit No. 2806.

(Thereupon Defense Document 1400-R-4 was marked Exhibit No. 2806 and admitted in evidence.)

MR. LOGAN: (reading) "Press Release

Issued by the white House on October 15, 1940.

1

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"The President on October 10 approved an act which confers on him authority to requisition arms, ammunition, implements of war, machine tools, and other articles and meterials which are needed for the national defense.

"Under the Neutrality Acts of 1935, 1936, 1937, and 1939, and under the Export Control Act of July 2, 1940, certain articles and materials needed for the national defense have been subject to the export-licensing system. Since the passage of the last-named act, many applications for licenses have been refused as a result of decisions by the Administrator of Export Control that the proposed exportation would be contrary to the interests of the national defense. The articles or materials for which export licenses have been refused have in many cases already been sold and the title has passed to a foreign purchaser. It has been found that, in some of these cases, purchasers did not desire to sell the article or material in the United States or, because they were acting in a representative capacity, they were not legally in a position to do so. This situation has been particularly acute in the case of some exportations of machine tools.

A great many of these tools for which export licenses have been refused are especially needed to meet

3

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

national-defense requirements. "The President on October 15 issued an Exec-

utive order directing the Secretary of Lar and the Secretary of the Navy, acting jointly through the agency of the Army and Navy Munitions Board, to determine the necessity for the requisitioning of any equipment, munitions, or machinery tools, materials, or supplies necessary for the manufacture of munitions, or the servicing, or operation of facilities for the national defense, and to determine whether in any case it is in the public interest to sell, or otherwise dispose of, any of the articles and materials so requisitioned. The administration of the other provisions of the act has been vested in the Administrator of Export Control.

"The President at the same time issued the necessary regulations for the carrying out of his Executive order.

"As a result of his approval of this act and the issuance of this Executive order and these regulations, the President is assured the use of the critical articles and materials required in the national-defense program which might otherwise

On October 23, 1940, the Department of State answered the note of October 7, 1940, from the Japanese Embassy, setting forth the reliance on national defense as the reason for the restrictions on the export of iron and steel scrap. This is defense document 1400-S-4, which is now offered in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, this is the reply to defense document 1400-P-4 which was rejected a moment or two ago, and we submit this should be rejected also.

THE PRESIDENT: Obviously this must be rejected.

MR. LOGAN: On November 19, 1940, the Assistant Secretary of State issued a memorandum setting forth the principal points raised by the Japanese Charge d'Affaires in an interview had with him. These are set forth in defense document 1400-T-4, which is now offered in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Cuilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, this is another protest about the export regulations, and we submit is covered by the previous ruling. We ask for its rejection.

19

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

24

THE PRESIDENT: This is covered by the previous ruling, Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: Except, your Honor, you must remember that the time these protests were made the Treaty of Commerce and Navigation was still in force and effect and the six-month period had not yet expired, and that treaty contained a most-favored nation clause.

THE PRESIDENT: Is that treaty in evidence?
MR. LOGAN: Yes, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: If we know the treaty we know what America did. We don't need this. It is rejected.

MR. LOGAN: On November 30, 1940, the Assistant Secretary of State issued another memorandum setting forth conversation between him and the Japanese Charge d'Affaires with respect to certain exports. This memorandum is defense document 1400-U-4, which is now offered in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Guilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, this is another protest about the export regulations, and we submit is covered by the previous ruling. We ask its rejection.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection is upheld and

.

the document rejected.

MR. LOGAN: Defense document 1400-V-4 is offered in evidence. This is a memorandum of the Secretary of State to the Japanese Charge d'affaires dated December 9, 1940, setting forth the United States Government position with respect to the issuance of export licenses for machine tools.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, this is the reply of the Secretary of State to the protest that has just been rejected. We ask that this document be rejected also.

MR. LOGAN: I think the Tribunal should be informed of the views of both governments in regard to this exportation license problem.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is upheld and the document rejected.

MR. LOGAN: I refer to defense document 1400-W-4 and offer it in evidence. It is another executive order, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted tentatively.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1400-W-4 will receive exhibit number 2807.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit 2807 and received

3

3

21 22

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

in evidence.)

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LOGAN: This is an executive order signed by President Roosevelt on December 10, 1940, defining the terms "iron" and "steel" as used in the previous order.

THE PRESIDENT: There is no need to read it. MR. LOGAN: I just want to read the heading.

Iron ore. "A.

Pig iron "B.

Ferro Alloys "C.

Semi-Finished Products

Finished Products." "E.

That is all.

I now offer in evidence defense document 1500-M-5, which is an excerpt from the testimony of Joseph C. Grew before the Congressional Investigating Committee on November 27, 1945, wherein he recited a letter he wrote to the President of the United States on December 14, 1940.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: May it please the Tribunal, this again is a piece of Mr. Grew's opinion, and amusing as it may be it is, in our submission, irrelevant.

MR. LOGAN: Maybe I have a warped sense of humor, if the Tribunal please, but I don't see any humor about it. It is a letter written by the Ambassador to the highest-ranking official in the United States.

It bears directly on the so-called question of conspiracy where he advises the President that in the Japanese Government the right hand doesn't know what the left hand is doing.

The Tribunal probably will recall that as

far as presentation of views and opinions are concerned,

the views of the German ambassador were accepted by

this Tribunal, and his opinions were taken and accep
ted for whatever probative value they may have. But

here is a warning, or at least, a letter written

direct to the President of the United States by Ambassa
dor Grew. What higher authority could we seek for?

THE PRESIDENT: But what is there in this to suggest that the accused in the dock were not cooperating? It is purely a neutral thing.

The objection is upheld and the document re-

MR. LOGAN: I might briefly answer your last question, your Honor. This refers to the bickerings that were going on, the controversies that were going on within the government itself, and some of these accused were in the government at that time. It certainly does not show any conspiracy among them if they are bickering all the time, and it was recognized by

the United States Government that that was so by an authority right here in Japan who knew it was going on.

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

3

7 B

0 10 11

> 13 14

> > 15 16

> > 12

17 18

21 22

23

20

24 25

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed. THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: A memorandum from the Secretary of State to the Japanese Charge d'Affaires is set forth in defense document 1400-X-4 which is offered in evidence. This memorandum further explains the situation with respect to machine tools.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, this is a further reply to the protest made in defense document 1400-U-4 which was rejected shortly before the recess. We submit this document is covered by that ruling.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, I don't want to appear pugnacious about this, but it seems to me that all this evidence of the protests that were made by the Japanese Government about these embargoes are material because, if I remember correctly, in Mr. Keenan's opening statement, in defining aggressive war he said it was an unprovoked attack. So, I am trying to present evidence here to show what the provocation was and the protests that were made by the Japanese Government against these various measures that were taken, whether or not that was suffi-

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: A memorandum from the Secretary of State to the Japanese Charge d'Affaires is set forth in defense document 1400-X-4 which is offered in evidence. This memorandum further explains the situation with respect to machine tools.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, this is a further reply to the protest made in defense document 1400-U-4 which was rejected shortly before the recess. We submit this document is covered by that ruling.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, I don't want to appear pugnacious about this, but it seems to me that all this evidence of the protests that were made by the Japanese Government about these embargoes are material because, if I remember correctly, in Mr. Keenan's opening statement, in defining aggressive war he said it was an unprovoked attack. So, I am trying to present evidence here to show what the provocation was and the protests that were made by the Japanese Government against these various measures that were taken, whether or not that was suffi-

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: A memorandum from the Secretary of State to the Japanese Charge d'Affaires is set forth in defense document 1400-X-4 which is offered in evidence. This memorandum further explains the situation with respect to machine tools.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, this is a further reply to the protest made in defense document 1400-U-4 which was rejected shortly before the recess. We submit this document is covered by that ruling.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, I don't want to appear pugnacious about this, but it seems to me that all this evidence of the protests that were made by the Japanese Government about these embargoes are material because, if I remember correctly, in Mr. Keenan's opening statement, in defining aggressive war he said it was an unprovoked attack. So, I am trying to present evidence here to show what the provocation was and the protests that were made by the Japanese Government against these various measures that were taken, whether or not that was suffi-

cient to provoke them into an attack. Furthermore, this evidence is being presented to the Tribunal so that it can decide whether or not the determination by Japan, which it had the right to do under the Kellogg-Briand Pact, to determine whether it was a war of self defense -- whether or not they were actually justified in these provocations which were presented against them.

THE PRESIDENT: Do you contest what I said, that it is sommon ground that the Japanese protested against what the Americans did?

MR. LOGAN: But it goes a little further than that, your Honor. If it was just one little incident and one protest, perhaps that would not be sufficient to justify a finding that there was provocation.

But where you have many acts of the United States, imposing different embargoes on different goods and many protests and many warnings by the Japanese Government as to how they considered these embargoes, that all goes to the weight, and it is for the Court to determine whether or not their attack was justifiable.

While we all know in a general way that protests were made, there is no evidence before the Tribunal as to the number of protests that were made and the extent of them and the effect that the embargoes

were having on the Japanese Government and the fact that the Japanese officials told the Americans just what that effect was. And I also wish to point out that the documents I have been offering are not the Japanese side alone; I have been offering the protests that were made and the replies that were made by the American Government so that the Tribunal can

THE PRESIDENT: I suppose the prosecution are prepared to admit that you protested against all these embargoes and that you purported to point out what effect it would have on you, but they wouldn't admit the relevance of it, naturally. Self defense must be established objectively, not subjectively. You telling them what you proposed to do is not relevant.

MR. LOGAN: It goes further than that, your Honor. We are telling them the effect of these embargoes. Of course, the prosecution wouldn't agree to its relevance, but I think that it is relevant and that the Tribunal should so decide. It might also be pointed out that these documents clearly show that the United States knew just what the effect . these embargoes was going to be on the country of Japan which was not a self-sufficient nation, which depended

largely on the United States for its livelihood.

Yet, notwithstanding that knowledge, notwithstanding the protests that were made month
after month, they added more and more commodities
to the list on the embargo which they knew would
finally squeeze Japan into a position where she would
have to do something about it. That is the picture
we are trying to show.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the Court upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. LOGAN: I now offer in evidence defense document 1400-Y-4.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted tentatively.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document

1400-Y-4 will receive exhibit No. 2808.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2808 and received in evidence.)

MR. LOGAN: This is a proclamation issued by President Roosevelt on December 20, 1940, and the following commodities were added to the embargo list: Bromine, ethylene, ethylene dibromide, methylamine, strontium metals and ores, cobalt, abrasives and abrasive products containing emery, corundum, as well as abrasive paper and cloth, plastic molding

machines and presses, measuring machines, gauges, testing machines, balancing machines, hydraulic pumps, tools incorporating industrial diamonds, equipment and plans for the production of aviation lubricating oil.

On December 21, 1940 the Japanese Embassy delivered a memorandum to the Department of State bitterly complaining about the discriminatory treatment respecting licensing for export of certain materials. This is contained in defense document 1400-Z-4 which is now offered in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, this is a further protest about the export regulations that the United States was imposing and falls within the decisions already given.

MR. LOGAN: I have nothing further to add to what I said about the other documents, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection is upheld and the document rejected.

MR. LOGAN: I now call as a witness MIZUNO, Itaro.

22

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23 24

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

ITARO MIZUNO, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. LOGAN:

Q Will you let us have your full name and address.

A My address is Tokyo, Nakano-Ku, Saginomiya, 1-chome, 21; my name, MIZUNO, Itaro.

Q Will you examine defense document 1918 and all the exhibits attached to it and tell us if that is your affidavit.

(Whereupon, papers were handed to the witness.)

A There is no question; this is my affidavit.

Q Are the statements contained therein accurate and true?

MR. LOGAN: I offer in evidence defense document 1918 and all the appendices attached thereto;
I believe there are about twenty-one documents.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: May it please the Tribunal, the prosecution objects to this entire consignment of

eria.

-

100

22

24

documents as wholly irrelevant from the first word to the last. Of the twenty-one appendices, four or five have not yet been served at all, and none were served within the time limited by the rules. But, I am in a position to deal with the objection because the nature of them appears from the affidavit itself.

THE PRESIDENT: It reads like a judgment on issues we have for trial.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Well, your Honor, it is a judgment on certain issues but, in my submission, not those which the Tribunal has to try. It is concerned entirely with the economic history of the world during the period of the two wars.

Part one, which deals with the whole of the twenty-one appendices, is headed, on page 3, "The Economic Conditions After the World War I." It begins by citing, as an appendix, extracts from the League of Nations World Economic Survey for 1931 to 1932. Then it deals with the Monetary and Economic Conference of 1933 with regard to which the Tribunal yesterday rejected a document on the ground that that was not a relevant topic.

Then it deals at immense length with the abandonment of the gold standard by various countries

'

1 2

3

5

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

20

21

23

24

one after the other, another subject which the Tribunal declared to be irrelevant.

Then Part two is headed, "Barriers in Intermational Trade set up by Various Countries." And it deals with increase of import duties in Great Britain and with the Ottawa Conference and agreements made therewider which the Tribunal rejected yesterday. Then it deals with economic conditions in France in a paragraph of the affidavit and an appendix, including various tariff and quota regulations.

Then there are similar paragraphs and appendices dealing with tariff and such like regulations in Germany, Holland, the United States of America, Canada, China, India, and the Dutch East Indies, mostly, if not entirely, in years down to 1936.

Then there is a Part three headed, "The Efforts of Japan In Order to Alleviate Economic Pressure" which, however, makes it clear that the economic pressure referred to is the general increase of tariffs and such like previously dealt with and not any particular measures alleged to have been directed against Japan for political purposes.

Finally, on the bottom of page 22, it begins for the first time to refer to matters arising out of the war in China, such as the United States' moral embargo. And, on page 23, there is a brief reference to trading difficulties after the outbreak of the European War arising from the ordinary contraband rules.

Finally, it makes brief reference to, but conveys no information about, negotiations with the Dutch East Indies in 1940 and negotiations with the United States of America in 1941.

In our submission, there is not one word in this affidavit or in any one of the appendices which could possibly be of any assistance to this Tribunal in deciding this case.

Knapp & Yelde

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: I am sure after listening to Mr. Carr that the Tribunal must be convinced that all these matters he has mentioned are in issue before this Tribunal and all practically referred to by Mr. Liebert in his testimony. Furthermore when we presented what we thought were well-recognized books on this situation the prosecution objected and thought we should produce a witness. Here he is. I am referring particularly to rejection of Fahs' book. A large number of exhibits conforms to the requirements of the prosecution and the rulings of the Tribunal that whenever a report is referred to it should be attached to the affidavit. They are all there. The counteracts that were taken by Japan as mentioned in this affidavit are those which we are charged with having perpetrated for aggressive purposes. I have no intention of reading all the appendices and exhibits attached to the affidavit. There are three or four perhaps I wish to read small parts from in addition to the affidavit of the witness.

THE PRESIDENT: You are entitled to answer Liebert fully and this man may be qualified to do so, but is that the nature of his evidence?

MR. LOGAN: I think it is, your Honor, when we consider the forty-five laws which Liebert went into

and tried to have the Tribunal draw an inference that they were passed for the purpose of promoting and waging an aggressive war.

THE PRESIDENT: We refused to take any of
Liebert's opinions. We cannot take any of this man's
opinions. His affidavit and annexures appear to be
full of opinions. I think there are observations made
by him as a result of the official positions that he
held, but I was glad to hear Mr. Carr say that the
judgments or opinions contained in this affidavit are
on issues the Tribunal does not have to try and I
think that is a proper interpretation of the Tribunal's
ruling. I thoroughly agree that no witness should
try to forswear any issue which the Tribunal has to
decide but opinions on matters within the sphere of
work done by this man which are reasonable and necessary
I think the Tribunal should accept.

THE PRESIDENT: After all, the only way to meet Liebert's evidence is to contradict the facts relied upon by him.

MR. LOGAN: I might also add, your Honor, that we of the defense do not consider Liebert's testimony as the touchstone by which the relevancy of all evidence that we put in should be gauged.

THE PRESIDENT: All you have to do as the

1.7

defense is to contradict the prosecution's evidence by other evidence.

MR. LOGAN: When we assume the burden of trying to prove an affirmative defense we also assume the burden of proof of evidence to support it which does not necessarily have to be touched by Liebert.

THE PRESIDENT: You just meet the evidence for the prosecution; that is all.

MR. LOGAN: And we have the burden to go forward on an affirmative defense.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, may I correct a misapprehension? As far as we can trace there is nothing whatever in this affidavit which has any bearing at all upon any of the allegations made by Mr. Liebert.

THE PRESIDENT: He is the only prosecution's witness that dealt with this phase, as far as I recollect. We are reluctant to reject an affidavit prepared at such great length and with such great labor but it must be relevant.

MR. LOGAN: Perhaps the Tribunal does not understand what I have been trying to explain with regard to--

THE PRESIDENT: I know you are talking about

I know it is an affirmative defense. defense.

MR. LOGAN: Yes, the extent and presentation of evidence in support of it goes beyond the evidence the prosecution has presented.

THE PRESIDENT: I understand from my colleagues that this does not meet Liebert's evidence in any way.

MR. LOGAN: It is much broader than Liebert's testimony, if the Tribunal please. It is greater in scope and it gives the Tribunal a better understanding of the economic picture in Japan.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the Court upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. LOGAN: May the witness be excused on the usual terms?

THE PRESIDENT: He is excused accordingly. (Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

MR. LOGAN: Defense document 206-E-54 being an excerpt from the diary of former Ambassador Grew entitled "Ten Years in Japan" dated January 1, 1941 is now offered in evidence. This sets forth his observations with respect to American embargoes.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: May it please the Tribunal, this is another of Mr. Grew's opinions and is objected

3

5 6

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

> 15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

to for the same reasons as before.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection is upheld and the document rejected by a majority.

MR. LOGAN: The Department of State of the United States issued a memorandum dated January 7, 1941 to the Japanese Embassy as set forth in defense document 1400-A-5 now offered in evidence. It answers the Japanese Embassy's protest of December 21, 1940 by referring the Embassy to the State Department notes of August 9, 1940 and October 23, 1940 heretofore offered in evidence.

MR. COMYNS CARR: May it please the Tribunal, this is a reply to the rejected document 1400-Z-4 particularly and we submit should fall with that document.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection is upheld and the document rejected.

MR. LOGAN: Notwithstanding the last mentioned protest of the Japanese -- perhaps I should not say that because it was rejected. I am sorry. We offer in evidence defense document 1400-B-5.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted tentatively. CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1400-B-5 will receive exhibit No. 2809.

> (Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit

23

22

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

No. 2809 and received in evidence.)

MR. LOGAN: This is an executive order signed by President Roosevelt, January 10, 1941, adding the following commodities to the embargo:

(1) Copper, (2) Brass and Bronze, (3) Zinc, (4) Nickel, (5) Potash. There is also contained in this document a large list of various items being the construction of the materials just mentioned which I shall not

On February 4, 1941 additional regulations governing the exportation of articles and materials were imposed by executive order 8668 as set forth in defense document 1400-C-5 which is now offered in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted tentatively.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document

1400-C-5 will receive exhibit No. 2810.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2810 and received in evidence.)

read.

MR. LOGAN: The second executive order of 1 President Roosevelt, dated February 4, 1941, defining 2 and construing the materials as set forth in the 3 proclamation of February 4, 1941, adds: 4 Oil and refining machinery
Petroleum and gas well equipment and parts
including well drilling machinery and "(1) 5 6 parts Petroleum refining machinery, equipment 7 and parts (2) Radium 8 Metal Salts and compounds 9 (3) Uranium Metal 10 Salts and compounds Minerals 11 Calf and kip skins Calf skins Kip skins" 12 13 Defense document 1400-D-5, on the basis of 14 strengthening the national defense, executive order 15 8669 was issued on February 4, 1941, prescribing 16 additional regulations covering the exportation of 17 a large number of iron and steel materials. These 18 are set forth in defense document 1400-D-5 which is 19 now offered in evidence. 20 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted tentatively. 21 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1400-22 D-5 will receive exhibit No. 2811. 23 (Whereupon, the document above 24

referred to was given exhibit No. 2811

and admitted in evidence.)

MR. LOGAN: Defense exhibit 2811 is an executive order signed by President Roosevelt, February 4, 1941, setting forth additional regulations covering exportation of iron and steel to include the following forms, conversions and derivatives. I shall only read the heading of each one of these and not go into detail.

(Reading) "Iron Ore, Iron and Steel
Semimanufactures, Tin-plate scrap, Tin-plate circles,
etc., Waste-Waste tin plate, Terneplate waste-waste,
clippings, and scrap, Iron and Steel Products, Iron
and Steel Bars and Rods."

On February 25, 1941, another executive order was issued covering additional regulations respecting the exportation of certain articles set forth in defense document 1400-E-5, now offered in evidence.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1400-E-5 will receive exhibit No. 2812.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was given defense Exhibit No. 2812 and admitted in evidence.)

MR. LOGAN: Executive order signed by President Roosevelt February 25, 1941. The following articles and materials -- this order

.

. 1

defines the materials to include various types of commodities: Belladonna, Atropine, Sole Leather, Belting Leather.

On the same day, February 25, 1941, another executive order was issued, prescribing additional regulations covering exportation of certain articles as set forth in defense document 1400-F-5, now offered in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted tentatively.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document

1400-F-5 will receive exhibit No. 2813.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was given defense exhibit No. 2813 and admitted into evidence.)

MR. LOGAN: Executive order signed by
President Roosevelt, February 25, 1941, stating
that the articles and materials set forth in his
proclamation of the same date shall be construed
to include: "Beryllium, Ores and concentrates
(except gem varieties), Metal, alloys and scrap,
Beryllium salts and compounds; Graphite electrodes;
Aircraft Pilot Trainers, Trainers for ground
instruction of pilots, student pilots, and combat
crews for aircraft in instrumental flying, navigation, bombing, or gunnery."

1 2

Further restrictions were imposed by
executive order signed March 4, 1941, as set forth
in defense document 1400-G-5, now offered in
evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted tentatively.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document
1400-G-5 will receive exhibit No. 2814.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was given defense Exhibit No. 2814 and admitted in evidence.)

MR. LOGAN: Exhibit 2814 is executive order signed by President Roosevelt, March 4, 1941, in which he construes the articles and materials as named in the proclamation issued on the same date: "Cadmium, Ores and concentrates, Metal, Alloys, Cadmium Salts and Compounds; Carbon Black; Coconut Oil: Edible, Inedible; Copra; Cresylic Acid and Cresols; Fatty Acids produced from vegetable oils under export control; Glycerin; Palm-Kernel Oil; Pine Oil; Petroleum Coke; Shellac, Lac, crude, seed, button, and stick; Titanium, Ores and concentrates."

THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until nine-thirty o'clock tomorrow morning.

(Whereupon, at 1600, an adjournment

,

j